

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR FUSION SCIENCE**Self-Consistent Electric Field Effect on
Electron Transport of ECH Plasmas**

V.S. Chan and S. Murakami

(Received - Jan. 20, 1999)

NIFS-582

Feb. 1999

This report was prepared as a preprint of work performed as a collaboration research of the National Institute for Fusion Science (NIFS) of Japan. This document is intended for information only and for future publication in a journal after some rearrangements of its contents.

Inquiries about copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Oroshi-cho, Toki-shi, Gifu-ken 509-02 Japan.

RESEARCH REPORT
NIFS Series

Self-Consistent Electric Field Effect on Electron Transport of ECH Plasmas

V.S. Chan¹ and S. Murakami²

¹*General Atomics, San Diego, California, U.S.A.*

²*National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki 509-5292, Japan*

Abstract

An algorithm is proposed which treats the ECH generated potential in a self-consistent way, by extending the Monte-Carlo Fokker-Planck method used by Murakami [S. Murakami et al., Proc. 17th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Yokohama, 1998 (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, in press), paper CN-69/TH2/1]. The additional physics is expected to influence the transport of both thermal and suprathreshold electrons in a helical toroidal system.

keywords; electric field, electron transport, ECH plasma, helical system

1. Introduction

The creation of poloidal electric field by cyclotron waves has been suggested by Hsu *et al.* [1] as an explanation of the modification in transport in electron cyclotron heated (ECH) tokamak plasmas. The tip of the banana orbits of heated trapped particles tend to localize to the resonance surface. Since different trapped particles are heated differently, this results in a poloidally varying pile up or poloidal variation in charge density. Ambipolarity requirement leads to the formation of poloidal electric field. It is conjectured that the resulting $E \times B$ drift can modify particle transport by enhancing the ion vertical drift. The physical picture proposed pertained to a collisionless (banana)

regime. In a second paper, Chan and Wong [2] showed that a similar poloidal electric field generation can occur in a collisional plasma through a combination of the localized nature of ECH and the Doppler resonance. This paper used an adjoint method which allows the treatment of the quasilinear operator in more detail, although still in the weak rf limit. The same adjoint method was employed by M. Taguchi [3] to derive a unified treatment for both regimes. All three papers only conjectured the impact on transport, without presenting calculations to substantiate the expectation.

ECH plays an equally important role in non-axisymmetric toroidal systems. ECH was used on W7-AS [4] for confinement studies, where the bootstrap current was canceled by use of

ECH, and ECCD was used to control the shear profile. ECH has been a reliable electron heating method for both W7-AS and CHS [4], and will be used extensively in LHD. In non-axisymmetric devices, the particles trapped in the helical ripples can drift away from the starting magnetic surface resulting in radial transport which dominates over other processes. The contribution of thermal particles to radial transport can be evaluated from neoclassical theory [5]. A radial electric field can be obtained by balancing the neoclassical fluxes. Neoclassical theory alone apparently is not sufficient to explain results of ECH plasmas on W7-AS. In particular, the radial electric field is too small compared with experimental measurements which featured an ‘‘electron root’’ [5]. In a recent study, Murakami *et al.* [6], using a 5-D Monte-Carlo simulation, investigated the contribution of suprathermal electrons to the radial transport during ECH. They found that the ECH induced fast electron radial flux is large and outward which, when balanced with the neoclassical fluxes, should lead to a larger radial electric field. This result is very encouraging and suggests that further refinement may lead to a quantitative predictive theory.

So far, the Monte-Carlo study considered only radial electric field effect. The poloidal and toroidal electric field and the full effect of the electric potential on the trapped particles have been left out. As pointed out in Ref. 3, the magnitude and sign of the electric potential are sensitive functions of ECH. This can have a significant influence on both the thermal and

suprathermal transport. In this report, we propose a method to extend the Monte-Carlo approach to account for the 3-D electric potential effect. Following Ref. 6, a weak rf approximation is assumed. This restricts the rf as an energy (and momentum) source to the electrons but does not directly impact their trajectories. To this we add a self-consistent electric potential generated by ECH due to the asymmetric perturbation on the magnetic surface. The radial electric field is still left as a parameter to be determined by balancing the ECH induced flux and neoclassical fluxes. In principle, the self-consistent potential should be included in the evaluation of all three fluxes, *i.e.*, the rf induced flux and both the ion and electron neoclassical fluxes, since it affects both the thermal and suprathermal particles.

In Section 2, the appropriate guiding-center drift equations are set up for non-axisymmetric 3-D systems. Section 3 describes the derivation of the self-consistent electric potential generated by ECH. The summary in Section 4 discusses the implementation of this scheme.

2. Guiding-Center Drift Equations

The derivation of the guiding-center drift equations can be readily found in the literature. However, because the 3-D nature of the electric potential has not been emphasized, the full set of equations appropriate for our purpose is not usually employed. We present the derivation here following the

Hamiltonian approach of White [7] including some details to guide the readers.

In the derivation, covariant representation of \mathbf{B} ,

$$\mathbf{B} = g(\psi_p)\nabla\zeta + I(\psi_p)\nabla\theta + \delta(\psi_p, \theta)\nabla\psi ,$$

and contravariant form

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla[\zeta - g(\psi_p)\theta] \times \nabla\psi_p , \quad (2)$$

are used. The Hamiltonian is the energy

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2 + \mu B + \Phi , \quad (3)$$

where $\rho_{\parallel} = v_{\parallel} / B$ is the ‘‘parallel gyro-radius.’’ The canonical variables are

$$P_{\zeta}, P_{\theta}, \zeta, \theta_c \text{ with}$$

$$P_{\zeta} = g\rho_{\parallel} - \psi_p ,$$

$$P_{\theta} = I\rho_{\parallel} + \psi ,$$

$$\theta_c = \theta - \frac{\rho_{\parallel}\delta}{q} , \quad (4)$$

and $g, I, \delta, \psi_p, \zeta, \theta, q(=d\psi/d\psi_p)$, are magnetic coordinate variables. The equations of motions are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_{\zeta}} , & \frac{\partial P_{\zeta}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial\zeta} , \\ \frac{\partial\theta_c}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_{\theta}} , & \frac{\partial P_{\theta}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial\theta_c} . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Note that $\mathbf{A} = \psi\nabla\theta - \psi_p\nabla\zeta$, so the canonical momentum as defined in Eq. (4) is $P = p + A$ as in classical electrodynamics.

To expand Eq. (5) in terms of the magnetic coordinate variables, we

combine the first two equalities in Eq. (4) to eliminate ρ_{\parallel} , and then differentiate with respect to the canonical momenta to obtain

$$\frac{\partial\psi_p}{\partial P_{\theta}} = \frac{g}{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial\psi_p}{\partial P_{\zeta}} = -\frac{I}{D} , \quad (6)$$

where $D = gq + I + \rho_{\parallel}(gI' - Ig')$, and the prime indicates differentiation with respect to the argument. Differentiating the first two equalities in Eq. (4) in turn by the canonical momenta, and using Eq. (6), we also obtain

$$\frac{\partial\rho_{\parallel}}{\partial P_{\theta}} = \frac{1 - \rho_{\parallel}g'}{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial\rho_{\parallel}}{\partial P_{\zeta}} = \frac{q + \rho_{\parallel}I'}{D} . \quad (7)$$

Expanding the RHS of Eq. (5) systematically and using Eqs. (6) and (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} &= \rho_{\parallel}B^2 \frac{1 - \rho_{\parallel}I'}{D} - (\mu + \rho_{\parallel}^2 B) \frac{\partial B}{\partial\psi_p} \frac{I}{D} \\ &\quad - \frac{I}{D} e \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi_p} , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\theta_c}{\partial t} &= \rho_{\parallel}B^2 \frac{1 - \rho_{\parallel}g'}{D} - (\mu + \rho_{\parallel}^2 B) \frac{\partial B}{\partial\psi_p} \frac{g}{D} \\ &\quad + \frac{g}{D} e \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi_p} , \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial P_{\zeta}}{\partial t} = -(\mu + \rho_{\parallel}^2 B) \frac{\partial B}{\partial\zeta} - \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\zeta} ,$$

$$\frac{\partial P_{\theta}}{\partial t} = -(\mu + \rho_{\parallel}^2 B) \frac{\partial B}{\partial\theta} - \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\theta} . \quad (8)$$

These four equations are complemented by the drift across the flux surface

$$\frac{\partial \psi_p}{\partial t} = -\frac{I}{D} \frac{\partial P_\zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{g}{D} \frac{\partial P_\theta}{\partial t}. \quad (9)$$

and the parallel drift

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\parallel}}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{(\partial P_\zeta / \partial t) + (\partial \psi_p / \partial t)}{g} \right] - \frac{g'}{g^2} \frac{\partial \psi_p}{\partial t} (P_\zeta + \psi_p). \quad (10)$$

In Eq. (8), terms of $O(\delta)$ have been neglected and in Eqs. (9) and (10), terms of $O(\rho_{\parallel})$ have been dropped.

We note that the variations of B and Φ in all three dimensions in physics space are accounted for in Eq. (8). In Murakami *et al.* [6] only the radial electric field, $-\partial\Phi/\partial\psi_p$ is self-consistently determined by balancing the fluxes using ambipolarity. We propose here a way to determine $-\partial\Phi/\partial\zeta$, $-\partial\Phi/\partial\theta$ as an ECH effect. The inclusion of these two terms changes $\partial P_\zeta/\partial t$ and $\partial P_\theta/\partial t$ which in turn changes $\partial\psi_p/\partial t$ through Eq. (9). Radial transport occurs when the rates of change of the canonical momenta are irreversibly changed by Coulomb collisions, boundary conditions, and wave-particle resonance. Reference 6 treated the first two mechanisms but not the third. The same assumption will be used in the proposed extension. Examination of the last two equations in Eq. (8) also shows that when $e\Phi/T \cong L_\Phi/L_B$, where L_Φ is the local

gradient of the potential and L_B is that for the helical ripple, the electric potential can begin to significantly influence transport of the thermal particles. This is especially important for quasi-helical and quasi-axisymmetric systems where thermal particle neoclassical transport due to helical ripples is being minimized. Even larger potential will also influence the suprathermal particles. Another effect Φ has is on the turning point of the trapped particles given by Eq. (3). Depending on the variation and sign, it can shift the banana orbits away from its original position in a favorable or unfavorable way. This effect on the trapping boundary is expected to impact both thermal and suprathermal particles.

3. ECH Produced Electric Potential

In the derivation of the self-consistent electric potential, we use the following argument to simplify the starting equation. Because of the nature of electron cyclotron resonance, particles with energy close to the thermal energy are resonantly heated by the waves in the quasilinear approximation. According to the physical picture in Refs. 1–3, these particles are responsible for creating the density and temperature perturbation on a flux surface which leads to the formation of an electric

potential to satisfy ambipolarity. Unlike very energetic particles, the drift velocity across flux surface for these particles is small compared with the velocity along the magnetic field. We can thus linearize the drift-kinetic equation for electron accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla f_{e1} - C(f_{e1}) \\ &= -q_e \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{b} \frac{\partial f_{e0}}{\partial w} + Q_{\text{rf}}(f_{e0}) \\ & \quad - \mathbf{v}_{\text{De}} \cdot \nabla f_{e0} - \frac{\partial f_{e0}}{\partial t}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with $w = m_e v^2/2$, μ and $\sigma = |v_{\parallel}|/v_{\parallel}$ as independent variables in velocity space, $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}/B$, $\mathbf{v}_{\perp} = \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{v}$, $v_{\perp} = (v^2 - v_{\parallel}^2)^{1/2}$, C is the Coulomb operator, Q_{rf} is the quasilinear rf operator, $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\Phi$, and $\mathbf{v}_{\text{De}} = (v_{\parallel}^2 + v_{\perp}^2/2)/\Omega_{ce} \mathbf{B} \times \nabla B / B^2$. We note that Ω_{ce} carries the sign of charge and

$$\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{b} = -\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\Phi = -\frac{B^{\theta}}{B} \left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\theta} + q \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\zeta} \right).$$

Introducing an adjoint equation[2]

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla g_{mn}^e + C(g_{mn}^e) \\ &= -v_{ee} f_{e0} B^{\theta} e^{-i(m\theta - n\zeta)} \oint \frac{d\theta d\zeta}{B^{\theta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with $B^{\theta} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}$, we can multiply Eq. (12) by f_{e1}/f_{e0} and average over a flux surface, with flux surface averaging defined by

$$\langle A \rangle = \oint d\theta d\zeta \frac{A}{B^{\theta}} / \oint \frac{d\theta d\zeta}{B^{\theta}}.$$

Upon integrating by parts and making use of the adjoint property of the Coulomb collision operator and Eq. (11) the left-hand side of Eq. (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= - \left\langle \int d^3v \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(Q_{\text{rf}} - \mathbf{v}_{\text{De}} \cdot \nabla f_{e0} - \frac{\partial f_{e0}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{g_{mn}^e}{f_{e0}} \\ & \quad \left. + v_{ee} n_{e0} \oint d\theta d\zeta e^{-i(m\theta - n\zeta)} \Phi \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (13a)$$

and the right-hand side is

$$\text{RHS} = -v_{ee} \frac{\oint d\theta d\zeta e^{-i(m\theta - n\zeta)} n_{e1}}{\oint d\theta d\zeta / B^{\theta}}. \quad (13b)$$

where $n_{e1} = \int d^3v f_{e1}$.

A similar procedure can be applied to the ions without the quasilinear rf operator, which only operates on the electrons, to yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \int d^3v \left(\mathbf{v}_{\text{Di}} \cdot \nabla f_{i0} + \frac{\partial f_{i0}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{g_{mn}^i}{f_{i0}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + v_{ei} n_{i0} \frac{q_i}{T_i} \oint d\theta d\zeta e^{-i(m\theta - n\zeta)} \Phi \right. \\ & \quad \left. = -v_{ei} \frac{\oint d\theta d\zeta e^{-i(m\theta - n\zeta)} n_{i1}}{\oint (d\theta d\zeta / B^{\theta})} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Combining Eqs. (13) and (14) with charge neutrality satisfied order by order, *i.e.*,

$$-n_{e0} + Z_1 n_{i0} = 0,$$

$$-n_{e1} + Z_1 n_{i1} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{e}{T_e} \left[1 + \frac{Z_i T_e}{T_i} \right] \oint d\theta d\zeta e^{-i(m\theta - n\zeta)} \Phi \\
&= - \left[\frac{v_{rf}}{v_{ee}} \left\langle \int d^3v \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left(Q_{rf} - \mathbf{v}_{De} \cdot \nabla f_{e0} - \frac{\partial f_{e0}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{g_{mn}^e}{f_{e0}} \left. \right\rangle \\
& \quad + \frac{v_{rf}}{v_{ei}} \left\langle \int d^3v \left(\mathbf{v}_{De} \cdot \nabla f_{i0} - \frac{\partial f_{i0}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{g_{mn}^i}{f_{i0}} \right\rangle \left. \right] \\
& \quad + \left\langle \int d^3v \frac{w}{T_e} Q_{rf} \right\rangle, \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

with $v_{rf} \equiv \langle \int d^3v (w/T_e) Q_{rf} \rangle$ introduced to bring the equation into dimensionally correct form. Defining a double Fourier series by

$$h(r, \theta, \zeta) = \sum_{m,n} H_{mn}(r) e^{-i(m\theta - n\zeta)},$$

and

$$H_{mn}(r) = (2\pi)^{-2} \oint d\theta d\zeta h(r, \theta, \zeta) e^{-i(m\theta - n\zeta)}.$$

Equation (15) becomes, after setting $\partial/\partial t = 0$ at steady-state

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e\Phi_{mn}}{T_e} &= - \left(1 + \frac{Z_i T_e}{T_i} \right)^{-1} (2\pi)^{-2} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{v_{ee}} \left\langle \int d^3v Q_{rf}(f_{e0}) \frac{g_{mn}^e}{f_{e0}} \right\rangle \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{v_{ei}} \left\langle \int d^3v \mathbf{v}_{De} \cdot \nabla f_{i0} \frac{g_{mn}^i}{f_{i0}} \right\rangle \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{v_{ee}} \left\langle \int d^3v \mathbf{v}_{De} \cdot \nabla f_{e0} \frac{g_{mn}^e}{f_{e0}} \right\rangle \right\}. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (16) is the main result of this formulation. It provides a self-consistent 3-D electrostatic potential generated by ECH and radial transport under the assumption of quasilinear rf

and parallel drift much larger than radial drift. The first term in { } on the RHS gives the contribution from ECH and the second and third term are the transport contribution. We note that the adjoint equations are linear, hence the solutions are linearly proportional to the collision frequencies. Φ_{mn} is thus explicitly independent of the choice of v_{ee} and v_{ei} , and we may set both of them equal to unity in the adjoint equations and Eq. (16). Because of the nature of ECH, Eq. (16) should provide a reasonable estimate of the ECH created potential. The potential due to radial transport in a helical system may be better estimated using more elaborate transport codes.

4. Discussion

An iterative way to implement the self-consistent electric potential for transport studies is to extend the Monte-Carlo approach used in Ref. 6. In this approach, a Green's function G defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_D + \mathbf{v}_\parallel) \\
& \quad \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \dot{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{v}} - C(G) = 0, \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

is solved by Monte-Carlo technique with the initial condition $G(x, v, t=0, x', v') = \delta(x - x') \delta(v - v')$. Any response function of the inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck equation can be obtained by the convolution of the forcing function with G . For stationary state, the convolution is performed in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Comparing Eq. (17) with the adjoint equation, Eq. (12), we note that

in the limit $\Phi \rightarrow 0$, the definition of energy is the same. Since only static magnetic field is considered, $\dot{v} = 0$. In the limit of small radial drift, \mathbf{v}_D can be set to zero. Finally, by changing the sign in front of $v_{||}$, the solution of Eq. (17) provides the Green's function for the adjoint equation, and g_{mn}^e can be obtained by the convolution of G with the RHS of Eq. (12). Equation (16) can then be applied to evaluate Φ_{mn} . By repeating this for all (m,n) , the total potential $\Phi(r, \theta, \zeta)$ can be reconstructed.

In Ref. 6, the ECH driven transport flux was computed as a function of the radial electric field $E_r (= -\partial\Phi/\partial\psi_p)$ with $\partial\Phi/\partial\zeta = \partial\Phi/\partial\theta = 0$. The proposed extension will remove this restriction, in the second iteration when Eq. (17) is solved with the more exact guiding-center drifts given by Eqs. (8)–(10).

In conclusion, an algorithm is proposed which treats the ECH generated potential in a self-consistent way, by extending the method used in Ref. 6. The additional physics is expected to influence the transport of both thermal and suprathreshold electrons in a helical toroidal system. Implementation of the new algorithm to the Monte-Carlo Fokker-Planck code is underway.

Acknowledgment

The work of Vincent Chan was supported by U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG03-95ER54309, and performed when he was a JIFT Visiting Professor at NIFS. He gratefully ac-

knowledges the hospitality of NIFS during his visit.

References

- [1] Hsu, J.Y., *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53** (1984), 564.
- [2] Chan V.S., and Wong, S.K., Phys. Fluids **30**, (1987) 830.
- [3] Taguchi, M., J. Plasma Phys. **47** (1992) 261.
- [4] Watari, T., Plasma Phys. Contr. Fusion **40**, (1998) A13.
- [5] Maassberg, H., *et al.*, J. Plasma Fusion Res. Series, Vol. 1 (1998) 103.
- [6] Murakami, S., *et al.*, Proc. 17th IAEA Fusion Energy Conf. Yokohama, 1998 (IAEA, Vienna, in press) paper CN-69/TH 2/1.
- [7] White, R., *Theory of Tokamak Plasmas* (North Holland, 1989).

Recent Issues of NIFS Series

- NIFS-518 J. Chen, N. Nakajima and M. Okamoto,
Shift-and-Inverse Lanczos Algorithm for Ideal MHD Stability Analysis, Nov. 1997
- NIFS-519 M. Yokoyama, N. Nakajima and M. Okamoto
Nonlinear Incompressible Poloidal Viscosity in L=2 Heliotron and Quasi-Symmetric Stellarators, Nov. 1997
- NIFS-520 S. Kida and H. Miura,
Identification and Analysis of Vortical Structures, Nov. 1997
- NIFS-521 K. Ida, S. Nishimura, T. Minami, K. Tanaka, S. Okamura, M. Osakabe, H. Idei, S. Kubo, C. Takahashi and K. Matsuoka,
High Ion Temperature Mode in CHS Heliotron/torsatron Plasmas, Nov. 1997
- NIFS-522 M. Yokoyama, N. Nakajima and M. Okamoto,
Realization and Classification of Symmetric Stellarator Configurations through Plasma Boundary Modulations, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-523 H. Kitauchi,
Topological Structure of Magnetic Flux Lines Generated by Thermal Convection in a Rotating Spherical Shell, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-524 T. Ohkawa,
Tunneling Electron Trap, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-525 K. Itoh, S.-I. Itoh, M. Yagi, A. Fukuyama,
Solitary Radial Electric Field Structure in Tokamak Plasmas, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-526 Andrey N. Lyakhov,
Alfven Instabilities in FRC Plasma, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-527 J. Uramoto,
Net Current Increment of negative Muonlike Particle Produced by the Electron and Positive Ion Bunch-method, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-528 Andrey N. Lyakhov,
Comments on Electrostatic Drift Instabilities in Field Reversed Configuration, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-529 J. Uramoto,
Pair Creation of Negative and Positive Pionlike (Muonlike) Particle by Interaction between an Electron Bunch and a Positive Ion Bunch, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-530 J. Uramoto,
Measuring Method of Decay Time of Negative Muonlike Particle by Beam Collector Applied RF Bias Voltage, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-531 J. Uramoto,
Confirmation Method for Metal Plate Penetration of Low Energy Negative Pionlike or Muonlike Particle Beam under Positive Ions, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-532 J. Uramoto,
Pair Creations of Negative and Positive Pionlike (Muonlike) Particle or K Mesonlike (Muonlike) Particle in H₂ or D₂ Gas Discharge in Magnetic Field, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-533 S. Kawata, C. Boonmee, T. Teramoto, L. Drska, J. Limpouch, R. Liska, M. Sinor,
Computer-Assisted Particle-in-Cell Code Development, Dec. 1997
- NIFS-534 Y. Matsukawa, T. Suda, S. Ohnuki and C. Namba,
Microstructure and Mechanical Property of Neutron Irradiated TiNi Shape Memory Alloy, Jan. 1998
- NIFS-535 A. Fujisawa, H. Iguchi, H. Idei, S. Kubo, K. Matsuoka, S. Okamura, K. Tanaka, T. Minami, S. Ohdachi, S. Morita, H. Zushi, S. Lee, M. Osakabe, R. Akiyama, Y. Yoshimura, K. Toi, H. Sanuki, K. Itoh, A. Shimizu, S. Takagi, A. Ejiri, C. Takahashi, M. Kojima, S. Hidekuma, K. Ida, S. Nishimura, N. Inoue, R. Sakamoto, S.-I. Itoh, Y. Hamada, M. Fujiwara,
Discovery of Electric Pulsation in a Toroidal Helical Plasma, Jan. 1998

- NIFS-536 Lj.R. Hadzievski, M.M. Skonc, M. Kono and T. Sato,
Simulation of Weak and Strong Langmuir Collapse Regimes; Jan. 1998
- NIFS-537 H. Sugama, W. Horton,
Nonlinear Electromagnetic Gyrokinetic Equation for Plasmas with Large Mean Flows; Feb. 1998
- NIFS-538 H. Iguchi, T.P. Crowley, A. Fujisawa, S. Lee, K. Tanaka, T. Minami, S. Nishimura, K. Ida, R. Akiyama, Y. Hamada, H., Idei, M. Isobe, M. Kojima, S. Kubo, S. Monta, S. Ohdachi, S. Okamura, M. Osakabe, K. Matsuoka, C. Takahashi and K. Toi,
Space Potential Fluctuations during MHD Activities in the Compact Helical System (CHS); Feb. 1998
- NIFS-539 Takashi Yabe and Yan Zhang,
Effect of Ambient Gas on Three-Dimensional Breakup in Coronet Formation Process; Feb. 1998
- NIFS-540 H. Nakamura, K. Ikeda and S. Yamaguchi,
Transport Coefficients of InSb in a Strong Magnetic Field; Feb. 1998
- NIFS-541 J. Uramoto,
Development of v_{μ} Beam Detector and Large Area v_{μ} Beam Source by H_2 Gas Discharge (I); Mar. 1998
- NIFS-542 J. Uramoto,
Development of \bar{v}_{μ} Beam Detector and Large Area \bar{v}_{μ} Beam Source by H_2 Gas Discharge (II); Mar. 1998
- NIFS-543 J. Uramoto,
Some Problems inside a Mass Analyzer for Pions Extracted from a H_2 Gas Discharge, Mar. 1998
- NIFS-544 J. Uramoto,
Simplified v_{μ} \bar{v}_{μ} Beam Detector and v_{μ} \bar{v}_{μ} Beam Source by Interaction between an Electron Bunch and a Positive Ion Bunch; Mar. 1998
- NIFS-545 J. Uramoto,
Various Neutrino Beams Generated by D_2 Gas Discharge; Mar. 1998
- NIFS-546 R. Kanno, N. Nakajima, T. Hayashi and M. Okamoto,
Computational Study of Three Dimensional Equilibria with the Bootstrap Current; Mar. 1998
- NIFS-547 R. Kanno, N. Nakajima and M. Okamoto,
Electron Heat Transport in a Self-Similar Structure of Magnetic Islands; Apr. 1998
- NIFS-548 J.E. Rice,
Simulated Impurity Transport in LHD from MIST; May 1998
- NIFS-549 M.M. Skonc, T. Sato, A.M. Maluckov and M.S. Jovanovic,
On Kinetic Complexity in a Three-Wave Interaction; June 1998
- NIFS-550 S. Goto and S. Kida,
Passive Saclar Spectrum in Isotropic Turbulence: Prediction by the Lagrangian Direct-interaction Approximation; June 1998
- NIFS-551 T. Kuroda, H. Sugama, R. Kanno, M. Okamoto and W. Horton,
Initial Value Problem of the Toroidal Ion Temperature Gradient Mode ; June 1998
- NIFS-552 T. Mutoh, R. Kumazawa, T. Seki, F. Simpo, G. Nomura, T. Ido and T. Watan,
Steady State Tests of High Voltage Ceramic Feedthroughs and Co-Axial Transmission Line of ICRF Heating System for the Large Helical Device ; June 1998
- NIFS-553 N. Noda, K. Tsuzuki, A. Sagara, N. Inoue, T. Muroga,
oronaization in Future Devices -Protecting Layer against Tritium and Energetic Neutrals-; July 1998
- NIFS-554 S. Murakami and H. Saleem,
Electromagnetic Effects on Rippling Instability and Tokamak Edge Fluctuations; July 1998

- NIFS-555 H Nakamura, K Ikeda and S Yamaguchi,
Physical Model of Nernst Element, Aug 1998
- NIFS-556 H Okumura, S Yamaguchi, H Nakamura, K Ikeda and K Sawada,
Numerical Computation of Thermoelectric and Thermomagnetic Effects, Aug 1998
- NIFS-557 Y Takeiri, M Osakabe, K Tsumori, Y Oka, O Kaneko, E Asano, T. Kawamoto, R. Akiyama and M Tanaka,
Development of a High-Current Hydrogen-Negative Ion Source for LHD-NBI System, Aug 1998
- NIFS-558 M. Tanaka, A. Yu Grosberg and T Tanaka,
Molecular Dynamics of Structure Organization of Polyampholytes, Sep 1998
- NIFS-559 R. Honuchi, K Nishimura and T Watanabe,
Kinetic Stabilization of Tilt Disruption in Field-Reversed Configurations, Sep. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/THP1/11)
- NIFS-560 S. Sudo, K Kholopenkov, K. Matsuoka, S Okamura, C. Takahashi, R. Akiyama, A. Fujisawa, K. Ida, H. Idei, H. Iguchi, M. Isobe, S Kado, K. Kondo, S Kubo, H. Kuramoto, T. Minami, S. Morita, S Nishimura, M Osakabe, M. Sasao, B. Peterson, K Tanaka, K. Toi and Y Yoshimura,
Particle Transport Study with Tracer-Encapsulated Solid Pellet Injection, Oct 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/EXP1/18)
- NIFS-561 A. Fujisawa, H. Iguchi, S. Lee, K. Tanaka, T. Minami, Y. Yoshimura, M. Osakabe, K. Matsuoka, S. Okamura, H. Idei, S. Kubo, S. Ohdachi, S. Morita, R. Akiyama, K. Toi, H. Sanuki, K. Itoh, K. Ida, A. Shimizu, S. Takagi, C. Takahashi, M. Kojima, S. Hidekuma, S. Nishimura, M. Isobe, A. Ejiri, N. Inoue, R. Sakamoto, Y. Hamada and M Fujiwara,
Dynamic Behavior Associated with Electric Field Transitions in CHS Heliotron/Torsatron, Oct 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/EX5/1)
- NIFS-562 S. Yoshikawa,
Next Generation Toroidal Devices; Oct 1998
- NIFS-563 Y. Todo and T. Sato,
Kinetic-Magnetohydrodynamic Simulation Study of Fast Ions and Toroidal Alfvén Eigenmodes; Oct 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/THP2/22)
- NIFS-564 T. Watarai, T. Shimozuma, Y. Takeiri, R. Kumazawa, T. Mutoh, M. Sato, O. Kaneko, K. Ohkubo, S. Kubo, H. Idei, Y. Oka, M. Osakabe, T. Seki, K. Tsumori, Y. Yoshimura, R. Akiyama, T. Kawamoto, S. Kobayashi, F. Shimpo, Y. Takita, E. Asano, S. Itoh, G. Nomura, T. Ido, M. Hamabe, M. Fujiwara, A. Iyoshi, S. Morimoto, T. Bigelow and Y.P. Zhao,
Steady State Heating Technology Development for LHD; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/FTP/21)
- NIFS-565 A. Sagara, K.Y. Watanabe, K. Yamazaki, O. Motojima, M. Fujiwara, O. Mitarai, S. Imagawa, H. Yamanishi, H. Chikaraishi, A. Kohyama, H. Matsui, T. Muroga, T. Noda, N. Ohyabu, T. Satou, A.A. Shishkin, S. Tanaka, T. Terai and T. Uda,
LHD-Type Compact Helical Reactors; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/FTP/03(R))
- NIFS-566 N. Nakajima, J. Chen, K. Ichiguchi and M. Okamoto,
Global Mode Analysis of Ideal MHD Modes in L=2 Heliotron/Torsatron Systems; Oct 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/THP1/08)
- NIFS-567 K. Ida, M. Osakabe, K. Tanaka, T. Minami, S. Nishimura, S. Okamura, A. Fujisawa, Y. Yoshimura, S. Kubo, R. Akiyama, D.S. Darrow, H. Idei, H. Iguchi, M. Isobe, S. Kado, T. Kondo, S. Lee, K. Matsuoka, S. Morita, I. Nomura, S. Ohdachi, M. Sasao, A. Shimizu, K. Tsumori, S. Takayama, M. Takechi, S. Takagi, C. Takahashi, K. Toi and T. Watarai,
Transition from L Mode to High Ion Temperature Mode in CHS Heliotron/Torsatron Plasmas; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/EX2/2)
- NIFS-568 S. Okamura, K. Matsuoka, R. Akiyama, D.S. Darrow, A. Ejiri, A. Fujisawa, M. Fujiwara, M. Goto, K. Ida, H. Idei, H. Iguchi, N. Inoue, M. Isobe, K. Itoh, S. Kado, K. Kholopenkov, T. Kondo, S. Kubo, A. Lazaros, S. Lee, G. Matsunaga, T. Minami, S. Morita, S. Murakami, N. Nakajima, N. Nikai, S. Nishimura, I. Nomura, S. Ohdachi, K. Ohkuni, M. Osakabe, R. Pavlichenko, B. Peterson, R. Sakamoto, H. Sanuki, M. Sasao, A. Shimizu, Y. Shirai, S. Sudo, S. Takagi, C. Takahashi, S. Takayama, M. Takechi, K. Tanaka, K. Toi, K. Yamazaki, Y. Yoshimura and T. Watarai,
Confinement Physics Study in a Small Low-Aspect-Ratio Helical Device CHS, Oct 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/OV4/5)
- NIFS-569 M.M. Skoric, T. Sato, A. Maluckov, M.S. Jovanovic,
Micro- and Macro-scale Self-organization in a Dissipative Plasma, Oct 1998
- NIFS-570 T. Hayashi, N. Mizuguchi, T-H Watanabe, T. Sato and the Complexity Simulation Group,
Nonlinear Simulations of Internal Reconnection Event in Spherical Tokamak; Oct 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/TH3/3)

- NIFS-571 A. Iyoshi, A. Komori, A. Ejiri, M. Emoto, H. Funaba, M. Goto, K. Ida, H. Idei, S. Inagaki, S. Kado, O. Kaneko, K. Kawahata, S. Kubo, R. Kumazawa, S. Masuzaki, T. Minami, J. Miyazawa, T. Morisaki, S. Morita, S. Murakami, S. Muto, T. Muto, Y. Nagayama, Y. Nakamura, H. Nakanishi, K. Narihara, K. Nishimura, N. Noda, T. Kobuchi, S. Ohdachi, N. Ohyabu, Y. Oka, M. Osakabe, T. Ozaki, B.J. Peterson, A. Sagara, S. Sakakibara, R. Sakamoto, H. Sasao, M. Sasao, K. Sato, M. Sato, T. Seki, T. Shimozuma, M. Shoji, H. Suzuki, Y. Takeiri, K. Tanaka, K. Toi, T. Tokuzawa, K. Tsumon, I. Yamada, H. Yamada, S. Yamaguchi, M. Yokoyama, K.Y. Watanabe, T. Watarai, R. Akiyama, H. Chikaraishi, K. Haba, S. Hamaguchi, S. Ima, S. Imagawa, N. Inoue, K. Iwamoto, S. Kitagawa, Y. Kubota, J. Kodaira, R. Maekawa, T. Mito, T. Nagasaka, A. Nishimura, Y. Takita, C. Takahashi, K. Takahata, K. Yamauchi, H. Tamura, T. Tsuzuki, S. Yamada, N. Yanagi, H. Yonezu, Y. Hamada, K. Matsuoka, K. Murai, K. Ohkubo, I. Ohtake, M. Okamoto, S. Sato, T. Satow, S. Sudo, S. Tanahashi, K. Yamazaki, M. Fujiwara and O. Motojima,
An Overview of the Large Helical Device Project; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/OV1/4)
- NIFS-572 M. Fujiwara, H. Yamada, A. Ejiri, M. Emoto, H. Funaba, M. Goto, K. Ida, H. Idei, S. Inagaki, S. Kado, O. Kaneko, K. Kawahata, A. Komori, S. Kubo, R. Kumazawa, S. Masuzaki, T. Minami, J. Miyazawa, T. Morisaki, S. Morita, S. Murakami, S. Muto, T. Muto, Y. Nagayama, Y. Nakamura, H. Nakanishi, K. Narihara, K. Nishimura, N. Noda, T. Kobuchi, S. Ohdachi, N. Ohyabu, Y. Oka, M. Osakabe, T. Ozaki, B. J. Peterson, A. Sagara, S. Sakakibara, R. Sakamoto, H. Sasao, M. Sasao, K. Sato, M. Sato, T. Seki, T. Shimozuma, M. Shoji, H. Sazuki, Y. Takeiri, K. Tanaka, K. Toi, T. Tokuzawa, K. Tsumon, I. Yamada, S. Yamaguchi, M. Yokoyama, K.Y. Watanabe, T. Watarai, R. Akiyama, H. Chikaraishi, K. Haba, S. Hamaguchi, M. Ima, S. Imagawa, N. Inoue, K. Iwamoto, S. Kitagawa, Y. Kubota, J. Kodaira, R. Maekawa, T. Mito, T. Nagasaka, A. Nishimura, Y. Takita, C. Takahashi, K. Takahata, K. Yamauchi, H. Tamura, T. Tsuzuki, S. Yamada, N. Yanagi, H. Yonezu, Y. Hamada, K. Matsuoka, K. Murai, K. Ohkubo, I. Ohtake, M. Okamoto, S. Sato, T. Satow, S. Sudo, S. Tanahashi, K. Yamazaki, O. Motojima and A. Iyoshi,
Plasma Confinement Studies in LHD; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/EX2/3)
- NIFS-573 O. Motojima, K. Akaishi, H. Chikaraishi, H. Funaba, S. Hamaguchi, S. Imagawa, S. Inagaki, N. Inoue, A. Iwamoto, S. Kitagawa, A. Komori, Y. Kubota, R. Maekawa, S. Masuzaki, T. Mito, J. Miyazawa, T. Morisaki, T. Muroga, T. Nagasaka, Y. Nakamura, A. Nishimura, K. Nishimura, N. Noda, N. Ohyabu, S. Sagara, S. Sakakibara, R. Sakamoto, S. Satoh, T. Satow, M. Shoji, H. Suzuki, K. Takahata, H. Tamura, K. Watanabe, H. Yamada, S. Yamada, S. Yamaguchi, K. Yamazaki, N. Yanagi, T. Baba, H. Hayashi, M. Ima, T. Inoue, S. Kato, T. Kato, T. Kondo, S. Moriuchi, H. Ogawa, I. Ohtake, K. Ooba, H. Sekiguchi, N. Suzuki, S. Takami, Y. Taniguchi, T. Tsuzuki, N. Yamamoto, K. Yasui, H. Yonezu, M. Fujiwara and A. Iyoshi,
Progress Summary of LHD Engineering Design and Construction; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/FT2/1)
- NIFS-574 K. Toi, M. Takechi, S. Takagi, G. Matsunaga, M. Isobe, T. Kondo, M. Sasao, D. S. Darrow, K. Ohkuni, S. Ohdachi, R. Akiyama, A. Fujisawa, M. Gotoh, H. Idei, K. Ida, H. Iguchi, S. Kado, M. Kojima, S. Kubo, S. Lee, K. Matsuoka, T. Minami, S. Morita, N. Nikai, S. Nishimura, S. Okamura, M. Osakabe, A. Shimizu, Y. Shirai, C. Takahashi, K. Tanaka, T. Watarai and Y. Yoshimura,
Global MHD Modes Excited by Energetic Ions in Heliotron/Torsatron Plasmas; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/EXP1/19)
- NIFS-575 Y. Hamada, A. Nishizawa, Y. Kawasumi, A. Fujisawa, M. Kojima, K. Narihara, K. Ida, A. Ejiri, S. Ohdachi, K. Kawahata, K. Toi, K. Sato, T. Seki, H. Iguchi, K. Adachi, S. Hidekuma, S. Hirokura, K. Iwasaki, T. Ido, R. Kumazawa, H. Kuramoto, T. Minami, I. Nomura, M. Sasao, K.N. Sato, T. Tsuzuki, I. Yamada and T. Watarai,
Potential Turbulence in Tokamak Plasmas; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/EXP2/14)
- NIFS-576 S. Murakami, U. Gasparino, H. Idei, S. Kubo, H. Maassberg, N. Marushchenko, N. Nakajima, M. Romé and M. Okamoto,
3D Simulation Study of Suprathermal Electron Transport in Non-Axisymmetric Plasmas; Oct. 1998
(IAEA-CN-69/THP1/01)
- NIFS-577 S. Fujiwara and T. Sato,
Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Structure Formation of Short Chain Molecules; Nov. 1998
- NIFS-578 T. Yamagishi,
Eigenfunctions for Vlasov Equation in Multi-species Plasmas Nov. 1998
- NIFS-579 M. Tanaka, A. Yu Grosberg and T. Tanaka,
Molecular Dynamics of Strongly-Coupled Multichain Coulomb Polymers in Pure and Salt Aqueous Solutions, Nov. 1998
- NIFS-580 J. Chen, N. Nakajima and M. Okamoto,
Global Mode Analysis of Ideal MHD Modes in a Heliotron/Torsatron System: I. Mercier-unstable Equilibria; Dec. 1998
- NIFS-581 M. Tanaka, A. Yu Grosberg and T. Tanaka,
Comparison of Multichain Coulomb Polymers in Isolated and Periodic Systems: Molecular Dynamics Study; Jan. 1999
- NIFS-582 V.S. Chan and S. Murakami,
Self-Consistent Electric Field Effect on Electron Transport of ECH Plasmas; Feb. 1999