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The radial electric field \( E_r \) properties in LHD have been investigated to indicate the guidance towards improved confinement with possible \( E_r \) transition and bifurcation. The ambipolar \( E_r \) is obtained from the neoclassical flux based on the analytical formulae. This approach is appropriate to clarify ambipolar \( E_r \) properties in a wide range of temperature and density in a more transparent way. The comparison between calculated \( E_r \) and experimentally measured one has shown the qualitatively good agreement such as the threshold density for the transition from ion root to electron root. The calculations also well reproduce the experimentally observed tendency that the electron root is possible by increasing temperatures even for higher density and the ion root is enhanced for higher density. Based on the usefulness of this approach to analyze \( E_r \) in LHD, calculations in a wide range have been performed to clarify the parameter region of interest where multiple solutions of \( E_r \) can exist. This is the region where \( E_r \) transition and bifurcation may be realized as already experimentally confirmed in CHS. The systematic calculations give a comprehensive understanding of experimentally observed \( E_r \) properties, which indicates an optimum path towards improved confinement.
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\section{Introduction}

Recently, significant roles of radial electric field \( E_r \) in toroidal plasmas have been widely recognized to achieve improved confinement modes\(^1\) such as H-mode\(^2\) and internal transport barrier (ITB).\(^3\) The potential pulsation has also been experimentally observed in CHS.\(^4\) The abrupt change of \( E_r \) has been observed with a time scale much shorter than the energy confinement time scale between negative and positive states. This phenomenon is physically interpreted as the transition between two stable states of \( E_r \) with negative (ion root) and positive (electron root) values which can exist due to the nonlinear dependence of neoclassical transport fluxes on \( E_r \). The electron thermal transport barrier has also been identified in CHS,\(^5\) which contributes to the reduction of the density fluctuation associated with the structural variation of \( E_r \) profile. This gives the experimental evidence of the \( E_r \) domain interface as theoretically predicted.\(^6\) The domain interface, across which different branches of the solution touch, appears in a medium which has multiple states.\(^7\) The predicted parameter (density and temperatures) region for establishing \( E_r \) domain interface, where multiple solutions of \( E_r \) solutions can exist, is in semi-quantitatively good agreement with experimental results.\(^8\) This also confirms the usefulness of the guidance to achieve an improved confinement by clarifying the parameter region of interest. The behaviour of this region is investigated for LHD\(^9\) in this paper to promote experiments towards possible improved confinement. An analytical model for to calculations of the neoclassical particle fluxes is briefly explained in Sec. 2. In Sec. 3, an ambipolar \( E_r \) is examined for the parameters of some LHD discharges based on experimentally measured plasma profiles to compare calculated \( E_r \) with experimental ones. The qualitatively good agreement between these results validates this approach for the purpose to clarify the parameter region of interest where \( E_r \) domain interface may be realized. Finally, summary and discussions are given in Sec. 4.

\section{Model description}

In this paper, neoclassical particle fluxes are calculated by the analytical formulae derived by Kovrizhnykh,\(^10\) The \( E_r \) is determined with the ambipolar condition, \( \Gamma_a \neq \Gamma_i \), where \( \Gamma_j \) denotes the particle flux of plasma species with \( j = e \) for electrons and \( j = i \) for ions. More precise calculations in realistic geometry are required to obtain more accurate fluxes such as by GNET code\(^11\) which takes into account the fine structure of magnetic field and the contribution to particle fluxes from energetic particles. However, such computations are very time-consuming. The use of analytical formulae stimulates to clarify \( E_r \) properties in a wide range of temperature and density in a more transparent way.
Figure 1 shows the spectrum of the magnetic field in the Boozer coordinates for two vacuum configurations with different position of the magnetic axis ($R_{ax}$), (a) $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m and (b) $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m. These equilibria are calculated with VMEC. The curve with $(0,0)$ denotes the difference of the uniform field strength from its value on the magnetic axis, $B_{0,0,0}(0) - B_{0,0}(0)$, and all other components are normalized by $B_{0,0}(0)$, where $\rho$ is the normalized minor radius. For the case of $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m, the poloidal inhomogeneity of $B$ ($\epsilon_{1,10}$) is almost equal to the geometrical inverse aspect ratio. On the other hand, for the case of $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m, it is about 2/3 times smaller than that of $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m with almost the same plasma minor radius. The contribution of satellite helicity, $\epsilon_{1,10}$, becomes larger for $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m even for inner region, although its edge value is almost equal to that of $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m. Another satellite helicity, $\epsilon_{2,10}$, also becomes apparent for $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m with the amplitude almost equal to that of $\epsilon_{1,10}$ at the plasma edge. Thus, the field structure of LHD in vacuum - low beta conditions for this range of $R_{ax}$ can be approximately expressed as follows:

$$B = B_0[1 + \epsilon_{1,0}\cos \theta + \epsilon_{2,10}\cos(2\theta - 10\zeta) + \epsilon_{1,10}\cos(\theta - 10\zeta) + \epsilon_{2,10}\cos(3\theta - 10\zeta)],$$

(2.1)

where $(\theta, \zeta)$ are (poloidal, toroidal) angles, respectively.

In the original formulae by Kovrizhnykh, the poloidal inhomogeneity of $B$ (denoted by $\delta$ in Ref. 10) is simply defined by the geometrical inverse aspect ratio. This simple definition is no longer valid (cf., Fig. 1(b)). Thus, this $\delta$ is replaced by $|\epsilon_{1,0}|$. The helicity is defined there (denoted by $\bar{\epsilon}$) through the modified Bessel function based on the magnetic potential analysis, which is also replaced by the effective helicity, $\epsilon_{H}$. The conventional definition of $\epsilon_{H}$ is given in Ref. 15. This formula is applicable to the configuration control in LHD. The $\epsilon_{H}$ for Eq. (2.1) is defined by

$$\epsilon_{H} = (1/2\pi)\int_{0}^{2\pi}d\theta(\epsilon_{1,10}^2 + \epsilon_{2,10}^2 + \epsilon_{1,10}^2)$$

$$+ 2[\epsilon_{2,10}(\epsilon_{1,10} + \epsilon_{2,10})\cos \theta + \epsilon_{1,10}(\epsilon_{2,10} + \epsilon_{2,10})\cos 2\theta]^{1/2},$$

(2.2)

which is shown by solid curve in Figs. 1(a) and (b), respectively. The $\epsilon_{H}$ is enhanced in a plasma core region for $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m case due to the presence of a satellite helicity, $\epsilon_{1,10}$. The rotational transform is also given by VMEC calculations.

§3. Comparison with experimental results and the behavior of parameter region of interest in LHD

In this section, firstly, the $E_r$ calculated by the analytical formulae is compared with experimentally measured one. This is to check the relevance of the analytically estimated $E_r$ in LHD and to make calculations in a wide range of parameters meaningful.

Some dependencies of $E_r$ on plasma parameters have been identified in LHD such as "higher temperature ($T_e$) is required to realize electron root $E_r$ ($E_r > 0$) for higher density ($n$)" and "$E_r$ becomes negative for higher $n$ (by gas-puffing and/or pellet injection) and becomes positive for lower $n". The latter tendency is firstly examined. During $n$ scan experiments, $n$ is varied with gas-puffing with keeping the value and profile of electron and ion temperatures ($T_e$ and $T_i$) almost unchanged. Thus, calculations are performed by taking electron density ($n_e$) as a parameter using $n$ and $T$ profiles measured in this series of experiments ($R_{ax} = 3.75$ m and $B = 1.5$ T). The shape of $n_e$ profile (hollow profile with the peak around $\rho \sim 0.8$, which has been the typical profile in LHD) is fixed to clearly see the dependence of $E_r$ on $n_e$ value itself. Figure 2 shows $E_r$ solutions as a function of $n_e$ on three flux surfaces, $\rho = 0.85$, $0.90$ and $0.95$. Experimentally measured $E_r$ for $\rho > 0.85$ are also plotted for reference. The calculated $E_r$ shows only one negative solution for $n_e \geq 0.7 \times 10^{10}$ for $n_e(\rho)$ and solutions become multiple (three solutions) for $n_e \lesssim n_e(\rho)$. The middle one in these three solutions is unstable and one of other two solutions is considered to be realized. The $n_e(\rho)$ agrees with the observed threshold density for the transition from ion root to electron root. The maximum $E_r$ values in electron root and ion root values are also well reproduced. This indicates that these calculations can qualitatively explain the tendency that "$E_r$ becomes negative for higher $n$" and becomes positive for lower $n". It is noted that the difference of $E_r$ values in the ion root for different flux surfaces is relatively smaller than that of electron root. This implies that the response of $E_r$ on plasma parameters is more sensitive in electron root. It is also recognized that the maximum $E_r$ (electron root) for each flux surface increases as $\rho$ is increased for the same $n_e$. In the latter part of this section, the effect of $\nabla T_e$ on $E_r$ is shown to be effective to realize electron root even for lower $T_e$. The $\nabla T_e$ increases towards the plasma edge associated with the edge pedestal formation. This gives an enhanced (maximum) positive $E_r$ at the outer radius even for lower $T_e$.

The measured $E_r$ does not show the clear (or hard) transition from ion root to electron root as a function of $n_e$, as shown in Fig. 2. This would be an influence of diffusivity on $E_r$ associated with the particle orbit deviation from a flux surface. Other possible mechanism is the influence of anomalous transport as is discussed in Ref. 20.

Figure 2 shows another interesting feature, that is, it seems that the electron root solution tends to be realized rather than ion root one for $n < n_e(\rho)$. This feature is different from the electron root observed in W7-AS, where the enhancement of ECH driven electron flux is required (ECH-driven electron root). The ECH is also required for the enhancement of electron flux to re-
alize the electron root at the edge region in CHS.\textsuperscript{23,24} On the other hand, electron root is realized even for NBI heated plasmas in LHD. One of reasons for this different feature may be smaller ion flux in LHD so that electron flux dominates to determine $E_r$.

The difference of $n_{\text{e}(c)}$ between cases of $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m and $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m is explained in Ref.\textsuperscript{16} as the result of the difference of temperature ratio ($T_e/T_i$). One may consider that this difference can be explained in terms of magnetic configuration (different spectrum as in Fig.1). However, this is less influential to vary $n_{\text{e}(c)}$ as explained below. Figure 3 shows calculated $E_r$ solutions as a function of $n_e$ for three cases: solutions for a reference data of temperatures ($T_e/T_i \sim 1.5$) at $\rho = 0.9$ with $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m (broken curve), modified temperature values ($T_e/T_i = 1.0$, $T_i$ is decreased artificially) with temperature gradients being kept the same as reference ones (chain curve), and temperatures (values and profiles) are kept the same as reference ones but with magnetic field data of $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m (chain-dotted curve). The $n_{\text{e}(c)}$ does not vary between cases of $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m and $3.75$ m with the same temperature values and gradients. On the other hand, it reduces for a case with $T_e/T_i = 1.0$ even for the case of $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m. The experimentally measured $E_r$ are also shown in Fig.3 for reference, which are thinned out from Figs. 1(a) and (b) in Ref.\textsuperscript{16} The black circles are the results for the case of $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m with $T_e/T_i \sim 1.5$ and open ones for $R_{ax} = 3.60$ m with $T_e/T_i \sim 1.0$. The experimentally obtained $n_{\text{e}(c)}$ is rather well reproduced by calculations depending on the temperature ratio regardless of the difference of $R_{ax}$, which verifies the statement in Ref.\textsuperscript{15} The results for neoclassical calculation shown in Fig. 1(b) in Ref.\textsuperscript{16} ($R_{ax} = 3.60$ m) are obtained with $T_e/T_i \sim 1$ with almost same gradients as those of reference ones. If one perform calculations with temperature profiles with $T_e/T_i > 1$, $n_{\text{e}(c)}$ becomes larger.

Now, the dependence of $E_r$ on temperature value is investigated to consider the tendency that "higher $T$ is required to realize electron root for higher $n_e"$. This can be expressed in other words as "electron root is possible even for higher $n_e$ when temperatures are increased". Calculations are performed in a wide range of temperature space, ($T_i, T_e$) taking $n_e$ as a parameter to check this tendency. Temperatures are amplified with keeping profiles to explore temperature region up to 3 keV (at $\rho = 0.9$) both for $T_i$ and $T_e$. Figure 4(a) shows the dependence of the region of interest (surrounded region) on $n_e$. The $E_r$ is singly negative below the lower boundary and singly positive beyond the upper boundary. The original value of $n_e$ is about $0.75 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$, which is multiplied with the amplification parameter ($n_{\text{amp}}$) as listed in the figure ($n_{\text{amp}} = 0.5, 1, 2, 5$) with keeping its profile unchanged. Of course, the ion density ($n_i$) is also amplified with the same $n_{\text{amp}}$ for each case. It is seen that higher $T$ (especially $T_e$) are required to reach the region of interest and to realize electron root for higher $n_e$. This indicates that $E_r$ tends to become positive as $n_e$ is decreased with keeping temperatures. For example, for $T_i = T_e = 1.5$ keV, $E_r$ is negative for $n_{\text{amp}} = 5$ case, positive for $n_{\text{amp}} = 0.5$ case and multiple solutions exist for $n_{\text{amp}} = 1$ and 2 cases. This result well describes the experimentally observed tendency that "higher $T$ is required to realize electron root for higher $n_e"$. It can also demonstrate that $E_r$ shows transition from negative to positive as $n_e$ is decreased for fixed temperatures, which coincide with the tendency that "$E_r$ becomes negative for higher $n_e$ and becomes positive for lower $n_e"$. The density scan calculations for Figs. 2 and 3 are regarded as a slice cut of this diagram (Fig. 4(a)) for fixed temperatures. Thus, this diagram is valuable to make out general tendency of $E_r$ behavior on the wide range of ($T_i, T_e$) space with density dependence.

To understand this response of the parameter region of interest for the variation of $n_e$, following simplified expressions for particle fluxes are examined, which have been utilized to investigate width of the region of interest in ($n_e, T_e$) space in Ref.\textsuperscript{8}

$$\Gamma_e \sim \frac{\pi}{a} D_{\alpha} (N - X + \beta_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma})$$
$$\Gamma_i \sim \frac{\pi}{a} D_{\alpha} \frac{1}{1 + C_{\gamma} X^2} (N + \eta X + \beta_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}) \quad (3.1)$$

where $\alpha$ is the plasma minor radius, $X = ea E_r / T_e$, $N = -a n_i / n$, $Y_{\gamma} = a T_i / T_j$, $C_{\gamma} = 1.5(B_{15} / eH)^{1/2}(T_e / \nu_{fe} T_e a e a)^2$, $D_{\alpha} = B_{15} ^{1/2} v_{D_i} / \nu_{D_j}$ and $\eta = T_i / T_e$. Here, the prime denotes the derivative with respect to $\tau$ and $\nu_{D_j}$ is the drift velocity and $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ are numerical constants both close to 3.25.\textsuperscript{26} The term $C_{\gamma} X^2$ in the denominator of $\Gamma_e$ is neglected because of its weaker contribution than that in ion flux ($C X^2$ term). The denominator of $\Gamma_i$ causes the nonlinear dependence of $\Gamma_i$ on $E_r$, and on the other hand, electron flux depends on $E_r$ linearly. The intersections of these two fluxes are ambipolar $E_r$ solutions which we have focused in this paper. This situation is schematically shown in Fig. 4 in Ref.\textsuperscript{8} where five cases (from (i) to (v)) are shown according to the level of $\Gamma_i$. The ion (electron) root region in temperature space (cf., below the lower (above the upper) boundary in Fig. 4(a)) corresponds to a situation where $\Gamma_e = \Gamma_i$ is realized only for $X < 0 (X > 0)$, which is shown by case (v) ((ii)), respectively. The region of interest corresponds to situations shown from (ii) to (iv) where three intersections are possible. The analytic calculation with $Y_e = Y_i = 0$ (for simplicity) to have degenerating solutions for $X$ fails to relate the $T_e$ on boundary of the region of interest, $T_{e(\alpha)}$ (both for upper and lower boundary), as $T_{e(\alpha)} \propto n_e^{1/4}$, which arises from the $C_{\gamma}$ term ($x \propto n^{-2}$) in $\Gamma_i$. This well explains the shift of the region of interest towards higher $T_e$ region shown in Fig. 4(a). It is understood physically as follows. The
effect of \( \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \) drift to reduce \( \Gamma_i \) is weakened for higher \( n \) case with higher collisionality, which weakens the reduction of \( \Gamma_i \) with \( X \) so that higher \( T_e \) requires to revive \( \Gamma_i = \Gamma_i \) by enhancing \( \Gamma_e \).

This diagram is now re-considered in terms of the electron collision frequency, \( \nu_e \), and temperature ratio, \( T_e/T_i \), as in Fig.4(b). The \( \nu_e \) is normalized by the collision frequency corresponding to the boundary between banana and plateau regime. The surrounded region corresponds to the region of interest. It indicates that the parameter region of interest appears when \( \nu_e \) locates deep inside of \( 1/\nu \) regime and the critical \( \nu_e \) for entering to the region of interest, \( \nu_e^{(c)} \), is about 0.2 for all cases of \( \text{namp} \) in this case. Figure 4(b) gives the clear information of the dependence of the region of interest on \( \nu_e \) and \( T_e/T_i \), on the other hand, Fig.4(a) is useful to consider concretely how to realize \( \nu_e^{(c)} \sim 0.2 \) with the combination of temperatures and density. Figure 4(b) shows another interesting fact. Only the electron root is realized in a wide range of \( \nu_e \) when \( T_e/T_i \gtrsim 3.5 \), which coincides with the estimate in Ref. 30).

As described above, \( E_r \) determined based on extended analytical formulae can be regarded to explain qualitative dependence of \( E_r \) observed in LHD on plasma parameters such as temperatures and density. This qualitative agreement with experimental observations really encourages the present approach to examine transition and bifurcation properties of \( E_r \) towards improved confinement in LHD.

The dependence of the region of interest on several parameters in addition to \( n \) is examined at \( \rho = 0.9 \) using the experimental data. These calculations are valuable to study individual parameter dependence.

Figure 5(a) shows the dependence on the magnetic field strength, \( B \), for \( \text{namp} = 1 \) case. As \( B \) is increased, the region of interest shifts towards higher \( T \) (especially \( T_e \)) region. When \( B \) is increased, \( \Gamma_i \) increases due to the term \( C_1 \) (Eq.(3.1)), which gives \( T_{e(b)} \propto B^{0.4} \). It implies that higher \( B \) reduces \( \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \) drift velocity with \( E_r \) being fixed, which weakens the reduction of \( \Gamma_i \) due to \( E_r \) so that higher \( T_e \) is required to revive \( \Gamma_e = \Gamma_i \) by enhancing \( \Gamma_e \). Thus, the boundary of the region of interest shifts to higher \( T_e \) region as shown in Fig.5(a). It is recognized that \( T_{e(b)} \) for the case of \( B = 2.5 \) T is about 1.6 = (2.5/0.75)\(^{0.4} \) times higher than that for \( B = 0.75 \) T case for a fixed temperature ratio as anticipated with \( T_{e(b)} \propto B^{0.4} \). Figure 5(a) is also re-plotted in terms of \( \nu_e \) and \( T_e/T_i \) in Fig.5(b). It is clearly recognized that \( \nu_e^{(c)} \) becomes smaller \( (T_{e(b)} \text{ becomes higher}) \) as \( B \) is increased due to the weaker reduction of \( \Gamma_i \) due to \( E_r \) as explained above.

Effects of \( \nabla T_e \), \( \nabla T_i \) and \( \nabla n_e (n_i) \) are also examined associated with the edge pedestal formation. The gradients are amplified with parameters, \( \text{tegamp} \) for \( T_e \), \( \text{tigamp} \) for \( T_i \) and \( \text{ngamp} \) for \( n_e,i \), respectively. As shown in Fig.6(a), the variation of \( \nabla T_i \) makes little difference even when its gradient is doubled \( (\text{tegamp}, \text{tigamp}) = (1,2) \) case, while doubling \( \nabla T_e \) is effective to shift the region of interest to lower \( T_e \) region \( (\text{tegamp}, \text{tigamp}) = (2,1) \) case. Based on Eq. (3.1) with finite \( Y_b \) and \( N = 0 \), the following condition is obtained to satisfy \( \Gamma_e = \Gamma_i \) as

\[
X^2 + AX^2 + BX + C = 0,
\]

where \( A = -\beta_i Y_e, B = (1 + D_i/D_e)/C_i \) and \( C = \frac{Y_i}{C_i} \left( \frac{D_i}{D_e} - \beta_i Y_e \right) \). It is noted that \( D_i/D_e \sim 15 \) for this case with \( T_e/T_i \sim 0.75 \) and \( Y_e/Y_i \sim 1.8 \), the second term in bracket in \( C \) can be neglected compared to the first term. It is recognized that the variation of \( Y_e \) (representing \( \nabla T_e \)) affects the nonlinearity of Eq.(3.2) through \( \Lambda \). The \( \Gamma_i \) is enhanced when \( Y_e \) is increased (cf., Eq.(3.1)), which makes it possible to reach electron root even at lower \( T_e \) region. On the other hand, the increase of \( Y_i \) tends to eliminate electron root solution by shifting cubic curve (left hand side of Eq. (3.2)) through the increase of \( C \). The higher \( T_e \) is required to revive electron root by enhancing \( \Gamma_e \), which is seen in Fig.6(a). Figure 6(b) shows that the region of interest is less influenced by \( \text{ngamp} \), which implies that \( E_r \) is mainly attributed to the temperature gradient. The effect of the density gradient may be more easily examined at a location with less temperature gradient.

The results described in this section have shown that the parameter region of interest is shifted to a lower \( T \) (especially \( T_e \)) region by reducing \( B \) and increasing \( \nabla T_e \) for lower \( n \). This situation can be experimentally tested in a controlled manner at the edge region in LHD where the pedestal-type temperature gradient is formed\( ^{17} \) with lower \( n \). It is interesting to examine how electron root behaves at the plasma edge associated with the edge pedestal and how it affects the plasma confinement property.

Electron root \( E_r \) can be enhanced for some radial portion of plasma and it is also anticipated to have a large \( E_r \) sheaf around \( E_r \) domain interface if there is only ion root solution at neighboring flux surface. Thus, the analysis at the different radius should also be performed to examine the possibility of \( E_r \) domain interface. The computationally clarified dependence of the parameter region of interest on plasma parameters examined in this paper gives the basis for this further study.

§4. Summary and Discussions

The \( E_r \) properties have been examined for LHD to indicate the guidance towards improved confinement associated with \( E_r \) transition and bifurcation. The \( E_r \) is obtained based on the ambipolar condition with the neo-classical flux calculated by the analytical formulae. This approach is appropriate for the purpose of this paper to
clarify $E_r$ properties in a wide range of temperature and density in a more transverse way. The original model magnetic field in Ref. 10 is extended to include sideband helicities to be applicable to the configuration control in LHD. Some of other equilibrium parameters are directly given from three dimensional equilibrium calculations based on experimentally measured plasma profiles.

The calculated $E_r$ is compared with experimentally measured $E_r$ to check the validity of this approach for LHD and to make wide range calculations meaningful. The experimentally observed tendency that $E_r$ becomes positive as $n$ is decreased is qualitatively well reproduced. The threshold density for the transition from ion root to electron root is also reproduced based on calculations, which is again in qualitatively good agreement with experimental results.

Based on this assurance of this approach for LHD, wide range calculations have been performed to clarify the parameter region of interest. This is the region where $E_r$ transition and bifurcation is possible as already experimentally confirmed in CHS. As $n$ is increased, this region shifts towards higher $T$ (especially $T_e$) region, which is consistent with above mentioned experimental result for the transition from ion root to electron root below the threshold density. This tendency clarified with calculations has also been experimentally confirmed based on the following two experimental results. One is that the positive $E_r$ is observed by increasing temperatures even for higher $n$. Another is that the negative $E_r$ is enhanced for higher $n$. The dependence of the region of interest on other plasma parameters are also examined such as $B$ and gradients of temperatures and density. This systematic calculation can give comprehensive understandings of experimentally observed tendencies of $E_r$ properties, which can give the appropriate guidance towards improved confinement. In this paper, $E_r$ properties are examined at a certain radius for a particular discharge as an example. The analysis for different radius should also be performed to examine the possibility of $E_r$ domain interface formation. Further detailed experimental test of this guidance will appear in a separate paper.
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Fig. 1. Fourier spectrum of $B$, $e_{mn}$, in the Boozer coordinates for vacuum configurations in LHD with $R_{ax}=\alpha(=0.75)$ m and $B=3.60$ m. The effective helicity, $e_{mn}$, is also shown by solid curve for reference.

Fig. 2. Calculated $E_r$ at $B=0.85$, 0.9 and 0.95 by varying only the density as a parameter using the density and temperature profiles observed in the density scan experiments. The experimentally measured $E_r$ for $p>0.95$ are also plotted for reference.

Fig. 3. Calculated $E_r$ as a function of $n_m$ for three cases: solutions for a reference data of temperatures ($T_e/T_i=~1.5$) at $\rho=0.9$ with $R_{ax}=3.75$ m (broken curve), modified temperature values ($T_e/T_i=1.0$, $T_i$ is decreased artificially) with temperature gradients being kept as same as those of reference ones (chain curve), and temperatures (values and profiles) are kept the same as those of reference ones but with magnetic field data of $R_{ax}=3.60$ m (chain-dotted curve).

Fig. 4. (a) Dependence of the parameter region of interest on $n$ parameterized by norm $r_{norm} (r_{norm}=0.5,1,2,5)$ in the ($T_e,T_i$) plane. (b) Figure 4(a) is re-plotted in terms of the normalized electron collision frequency, $e^{*}_n$, and $T_e/T_i$.

Fig. 5. Dependence of the parameter region of interest on $B$ ($B=0.75 T, 1.5 T, 2.5 T$) in the ($T_e,T_i$) plane. (b) Figure 5(b) is re-plotted in terms of $e^{*}_n$ and $T_e/T_i$.

Fig. 6. Dependence of the parameter region of interest on $n$ the temperature gradient parameterized by $\theta_{gap}$, $\alpha_{gap}$ for amplification factor for ($T_e,T_i$), respectively: $((\alpha_{gap}, \theta_{gap})=(1.1,1.1,1.2)$ and (2.1)) and (b) the density gradient parameterized by $n_{gap}$ for amplification factor for $n$ ($n_{gap}=0.5,1,2$).
Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 6(b)
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