

1/12 西事済4

Re your e-mail

My answers are as follows:

1. As the real situation of Tokyo Electric's falsification of the data has dawned, it has been clarified that the falsifications but one bear no relation to nuclear safety. I think therefore that this will not produce a long-term effect.

2. If you ask the reason why Tokyo Electric doctored the safety reports, the answer is that the safety examination is so complicated. It takes longer time to pass through the safety examination and the company should stop operation of the said nuclear power plant for a long time, if it honestly reports. When the company concluded that there were no safety problems in the said position of the plant, it doctored the reports.

This is of course not to restore the people's confidence.

3. Yes, it is exactly so. However it is also true that the general public have become aware of the importance of nuclear power generation in this event, because they are unexpectedly cool.

4. No. This will not happen due to this event.

5. I think that 2 - 3 reactors will soon be back on-line. However it will take more than half a year that all the reactors will be back, because it is difficult to obtain the Governors' consent, other than the safety and the technical problem.

6. This is actually the event of Tokyo Electric that has taken the absolute initiative in the whole electric circles for more than forty years in Japan. This may bring about structural change in a power relationship among nine electric utilities. It will of course take much time to have a clear view of this.

- Trees PLUS 92-113 SA-025BU

これにRを代入し、 $\frac{1}{2}$ と $\frac{1}{3}$ の
かきこ。

Subject: Re: Re your e-mail to Mr. Mori, JAIF
Date: Thu, 05 Jun 2003 16:38:29 +0100
From: "James Griffin" <jamesg1571@hotmail.com>
To: kito@jaif.or.jp

Dear Ms Kito

Many thanks for your reply. And I would like to thank Mr Mori for his positive response. However, I do understand the issue that Mr Mori raises.

Perhaps we could look at the questions that have answers that contain facts and ones that are forward looking in nature? Please see below.

I can also let you know when the article is going to be published, which will allow Mr Mori to reply a week or so before the deadline. This will allow his responses to be up-to-date with the current situation.

Do let me know what you think.

Best regards

James

1. Could the closure of TEPCO nuclear reactors threaten the Japanese Government's longer-term plans to make nuclear power responsible for 42% of the country's total electricity production by 2010?
2. What has TEPCO admittance that it has doctored safety reports since the 1980s done for public confidence in the Japanese nuclear industry? Is this only short-term?
3. Is the public confidence issue - a key factor to the future of Japan nuclear industry?
4. Will TEPCO be forced to buy power from alternative producers?
5. What is the current state of TEPCO nuclear reactors? Will they all be back on-line soon?
6. And finally what affect with this have on other utilities across Japan? (Will nuclear power become less popular amongst utilities?)

2. 日本の原子力産業に対する人々の信頼を取り戻す目的で、東電が、80年代から安全報告に手を加えたのは、どんな根拠によるか？ それは短期間だけのことか？