Comparison of Impurity Transport in Different Magnetic Configurations

Presented by

Kieran J. McCarthy^a

With coauthors

R. Burhenn^b, K. Ida^c, H. Maassberg^b, Y. Nakamura^c, N. Tamura^c

a) Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, Asoc. EURATOM-CIEMAT, Madrid, Spain

b) Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, Greifswald, Germany

c) National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki, Japan

International Stellarator/Heliotron Profile Database

IEA Implementing Agreement for Cooperation in the Development of the Stellarator Concept

Outline

Motivation

Introduction

Experimental Observations in Different Configurations

Similarities and Differences

Database requirements

Impurities: should they be of concern for stellarators?

Impurities acceptable at low concentrations

Beneficial (e.g. radiative cooling at edge, etc.)

 \Rightarrow Valuable diagnostic tools (e.g. V_r , E_r , etc.)

Avoid core impurity accumulation (high-Z) during improved energy confinement modes

> Overbalance of equilibrium between radiation losses & heating power



Degradation of plasma energy

Discharge termination

An unfavourable impurity confinement dependence on density!



How can core impurity accumulation be prevented?

Tokamaks

Confinement degrading phenomena such as ELMs and sawtooth crashes can be used to flush out impurities.



With the background plasma is in banana regime

 → v can be outward → Temperature screening (from axisymetric neoclassics)

How can core impurity accumulation be prevented?

- Tokamaks
 - Confinement degrading phenomena such as ELMs and sawtooth crashes can be used to flush out impurities. Also temperature screening.

Stellarators

- Current-connected phenomena not an option
- **ELMs** difficult to produce in a controlled manner
- No principal neoclassical mechanism in standard ion root (only in low n_e +ive electric fields in roots).

➡ 3-dim magnetic topology

Additional collisionality regimes for the background gas appear in the *lmfp* regions

How can core impurity accumulation be prevented?

- Tokamaks
 - Confinement degrading phenomena such as ELMs and sawtooth crashes can be used to flush out impurities. Also temperature screening.



How can core impurity accumulation be prevented?

- Tokamaks
 - Confinement degrading phenomena such as ELMs and sawtooth crashes can be used to flush out impurities. Also temperature screening.

Stellarators

- Current-connected phenomena not an option
- ELMs difficult to produce in a controlled manner
- No principal neoclassical mechanism in standard ion root (only in low n_e +ive electric fields in roots).

3-dim magnetic topology

Additional collisionality regimes for the background gas appear in the *lmfp* regions

There is a need to investigate Stellarator specific ways

What can these observations tell us wrt. stellarator pathways?

- W7-AS
 - τ_{imp} scales with
 density in NC mode!
 - Introduction of divertor modules led to HDH-mode
 - Significant drop in τ_{imp}
 observed in HDH mode
 - R. Burhenn *et al*, FST 46 (2004) 115



NC : "Normal" Confinement - very elmy H-modeHDH: High Density H-mode

What can these observations tell us wrt. stellarator pathways?

🔶 LHD

High-Z accumulation in specific density window

Screening of intrinsic impurities at higher densities



Figure 6. Time evolution of plasma parameters and the central iron density in a density ramp-up discharge (shot 17090). The plasma density increases with time by constant gas puffing. The central iron density was estimated with the impurity transport code MIST.

Y. Nakamura et al., PPCF 44 (2002) 2121

Can core impurity accumulation be prevented?

- Tokamaks
 - Confinement degrading phenomena such as ELMs and sawtooth crashes can be used to flush out impurities. Also temperature screening.

Stellarators

- Current-connected phenomena not an option
- **ELMs** difficult to produce in a controlled manner
- No principal neoclassical mechanism in standard ion root (only in low n_e +ive electric fields in roots).
- Different magnetic topology
 - additional transport regimes in *Imfp* regimes
- Stellarator specific ways have been explored

need a clearer understanding of underlying physics

Is impurity behaviour in stellarators well described by the standard theoretical models for axisymmetric devices?

If good agreement with observations

- Transport is understood
- Conclusions can be drawn with respect to further improvements and extrapolations
- ♦ If poor agreement with observations
 - Point to dominance of turbulent/anomalous transport ?
 - The need for Stellarator specific transport contributions ?
 - Further interpretation becomes difficult!!
 - Predictions have to be substituted by measurement.

Introduction

Impurity content

Impurity Transport Analysis

Experimental Observations in Different Configurations

Similarities and Differences

Database requirements

Impurity Content

What mechanisms determine impurity content?

Impurity influx from the chamber walls, etc.

Governed by transport at open magnetic field & retention
Feng I-06 & Kobayashi I-07

Impurity transport inside closed magnetic surface

Diffusive, D, and convective, v, terms

 $v\,$ driven by T and n gradients & space potential

Impurity Transport Analysis

 \blacklozenge Confinement time, au_{imp} \Rightarrow Global transport quantity



Impurity Transport Analysis

 \bullet Confinement time, τ_{imp} \Rightarrow Global transport quantity

Local transport quantities

Impurity fluxes, Γ , are based on neoclassical and PS $\langle \rangle$ transport for axisymmetric devices

 $\Gamma = \boldsymbol{D} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{n} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$

 $D(\mathbf{r})$ - diffusion coefficient (m² s⁻¹)

 $v(\mathbf{r})$ - convective velocity (m s⁻¹)



One-dimensional impurity transport models for axisymetric devices (e.g., SITAR, MIST codes)

> No stellarator specific transport features implemented





R. Burhenn *et al*, Proc 22nd EPS (1995) III 145



TJ-II





while

$$\tau_{AI} \propto a_p^{2.4} n_e^{1.2} / P_{ECRH}^{0.8}$$

(for n_e(0) <5 x 10¹⁹ m⁻³)

$$\tau_{\rm E} \propto a_{\rm p}^{2.2} n_{\rm e}^{0.5} / P_{\rm ECRH}^{0.6}$$
$$D_{\rm p} \propto n_{\rm e}^{-1.2} P_{\rm ECRH}$$

The observed dependencies are trends not expected for the neoclass and PS transport model May point to additional impact of turbulent /anomalous transport?



R. Burhenn *et al*, Proc 22nd EPS (1995) III 145

What lies behind density dependence?













and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop

ECRH / NBI plasmas comparison with theory



LBO AI injection into **ECRH and NBI plasmas** + Predictions for axisymmetric device.

Qualitative agreement





and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop

ECRH / NBI plasmas comparison with theory



LBO Al injection into **ECRH and NBI plasmas** + Predictions for axisymmetric device.

Discrepancy in *D***:**

Experimentally derived D⁴... x3-8 times lower (!) than those predicted by model

Is this discrepancy due to neglect of stellarator features?

R. Burhenn et al, FST (2004) 115

ECRH / NBI plasmas comparison with theory

"non-axisymmetric" neoclassical D seems to match experiment better ... \dots but not $v \dots$ Stronger accumulation expected but not observed!

R. Burhenn et al, FST (2004) 115

17th International Toki Conference on *Physics of Flows and Turbulence in Plasmas* and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop

R. Burhenn et al, 30th EPS (2003) 27A

and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop

R. Burhenn et al, 30th EPS (2003) 27A

and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop

R. Burhenn et al, 30th EPS (2003) 27A

Motivation Introduction **Impurity content Impurity Transport Analysis Experimental Observations in Different Configurations W7-AS** TJ-II > Tendencies LHD **Similarities and Differences Database requirements**

Density Ramp-up

Low density

Figure 6. Time evolution of plasma parameters and the central iron density in a density ramp-up discharge (shot 17090). The plasma density increases with time by constant gas puffing. The central iron density was estimated with the impurity transport code MIST.

Y. Nakamura et al., PPCF 44 (2002) 2121

17th International Toki Conference on Physics of Flows and Turbulence in Plasmas and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop

Fig. 11 Profiles of (a) radiation and (b) iron density at each time (t = 2, 5.5, 7.3 s) for the discharge in Fig. 10. The lines in the radiation profiles indicate the fitting curves

Y. Nakamura et al. ISW 2002, No. OIV:5

Density Ramp-up Accumulation window at medium density

Y. Nakamura *et al.*, PPCF 44 (2002) 2121

Y. Nakamura et al., NF 43 (2003) 219

Figure 9. Time evolution of (a) central radiation and (b) Fe xxIII emission for discharges with constant densities. Remarkable increases are observed only for the discharge with $2.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$.

17th International Toki Conference on Physics of Flows and Turbulence in Plasmas and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop

Fig. 11 Profiles of (a) radiation and (b) iron density at each time (t = 2, 5.5, 7.3 s) for the discharge in Fig. 10. The lines in the radiation profiles indicate the fitting curves calculated from the impurity transport code MIST.

Y. Nakamura et al. ISW 2002, No. OIV:5

(m) (m)) See accumulation $n_{a} = 2.7 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-1}$ 15 with long time $\overline{n}_{o} = 4 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ 10 (0=d) constant! a S (b) .5 Fe, XXIII (a.u.) $\overline{n}_{a} = 2.7 \text{ x } 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ 1.0 $\bar{n}_{a} = 4.0 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ = 1.35 x 10¹⁹m⁻³ 'n, 0.5 0.0 2 8 10 12 0 4 6 Time (s)

Density Ramp-up

"Flush-out"

Figure 6. Time evolution of plasma parameters and the central iron density in a density ramp-up discharge (shot 17090). The plasma density increases with time by constant gas puffing. The central iron density was estimated with the impurity transport code MIST.

Y. Nakamura et al., PPCF 44 (2002) 2121

Y. Nakamura et al., NF 43 (2003) 219

Fig. 11 Profiles of (a) radiation and (b) iron density at each time (t = 2, 5.5, 7.3 s) for the discharge in Fig. 10. The lines in the radiation profiles indicate the fitting curves calculated from the impurity transport code MIST.

Y. Nakamura et al. ISW 2002, No. OIV:5

Figure 9. Time evolution of (*a*) central radiation and (*b*) Fe xxIII emission for discharges with constant densities. Remarkable increases are observed only for the discharge with $2.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$.

What are the reason(s) for the accumulation window?

Figure 12. Decay time of TiK α emission as a function of normalized collision frequency for impurity ions. The central iron density (\bullet) in a density ramp-up discharge is also indicated to compare the collisionality with that in the impurity accumulation window.

Y. Nakamura et al., ISW 2002, No. OIV:5

Figure 13. Radial profiles of (*a*) radial electric field and (*b*) fully ionized neon density for the discharges with a pulsed neon gas injection. The radial electric field is measured in the midplane at a position where the plasma is vertically elongated. The electric field changes from positive to negative with increasing electron density. The neon density increases monotonically as the density is increased.

Y. Nakamura et al., NF 43 (2003) 219

17th International Toki Conference on *Physics of Flows and Turbulence in Plasmas* and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop May be caused by dominant effect of T grad in PS (n_e flat) "Temperature Screening" effect

Why purification beyond the accumulation window?

Ambipolar field E_r ... long impurity confinement times (a) 10 $\bar{n} = 0.5$ 5.0 5 E, (kV/m) n_c(0) 4.0 n_{_Fe}(0) (10¹⁶m⁻³) -5 3.0 -10 impurity (s 2.0 accumulation window \circ and *E*_r at high n_e interesting 1.0 0 0 \circ [Ne⁺¹⁰] (10¹⁷m⁻³) 0.1 0.1 $\overline{n}_{1} = 2.5 [10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}]$ 0.0 10^{-1} 10[°] 10^{-2} 10^{1} n = 1.1 €^{3/2}v

Figure 12. Decay time of $TiK\alpha$ emission as a function of normalized collision frequency for impurity ions. The central iron density (\bullet) in a density ramp-up discharge is also indicated to

compare the collisionality with that in the im-

If "Yes" >>> similar n_e-dependence in LHD as W7-AS, TJ-II at least for core confinement

Y. Nakamura et al., ISW 2

D_{exp} >> D_{neo}, cannot be related just to turbulent transport (may need "still to be understood" non-axisymetric features)

17th International Toki Conference on *Physics of Flows and Turbulence in Plasmas* and 16th International Stellaretor/Heliotron Workshop

Assumption:

If τ_{I} and E_{r} would continue to rise (?) ...

... then "purifucation" window means:

... a decrease of influx but still long impurity confinement ? **Motivation** Introduction **Impurity content Impurity Transport Analysis Experimental Observations in Different Configurations W7-AS** TJ-II LHD **Similarities and Differences Database requirements**

Similarities and differences

Indications for anomalous/turbulent transport at low and medium densities (TJ-II, W7-AS, LHD)

Tendency to approach neoclassics at high density (LHD, W7-AS)

Improvement of impurity core confinement with density (TJ-II, W7-AS, LHD (high ne))

Impurity screening mechanisms at high density similar/different in W7-AS and LHD?

Many features are qualitatively ... consistent with traditional neoclassics - but not quantitatively ... not consistent with traditional neoclassics

Need for non-axisymmetric neoclassics ?

Motivation Introduction **Impurity content Impurity Transport Analysis Experimental Observations in Different Configurations W7-AS** TJ-II LHD **Similarities and Differences Database requirements**

Database requirements

In DB, data needed for scaling:
 τ_{imp} (B,n,T,P,i, maximum E_r, heating system...)
 D,υ or D(r) ,υ(r) at e.g. 2 radial positions, maximum E_r ...

 To achieve a better <u>understanding</u> of physics of transport: For comparison need dedicated discharges with well documented (τ_{imp}, local D,υ or with profiles of n_e,T_e,T_i,E_r, P_{heat},)

Basis for understanding:

 Consideration of stellarator specific features in neoclassical model (3-D magnetic topology, gradB-drift, D(E,Z, v*) >> no analytical solution for ambipolarity,..)

>> strong impact: e.g. no T_i-screening

- 2) When can plasma be described with a neoclassical model and when is it anomalous/turbulent >> key: D(r)
- 3) E_r diagnostic very important for comparison of experimental v with neoclassical model.